UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (CGM)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TRUSTEE'S FORTY-EIGHTH OMNIBUS MOTION TO AFFIRM THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS DETERMINATIONS AND OVERRULE OBJECTIONS THAT APPEAR TO RAISE FACTUAL ISSUES

Upon consideration of the motion (the "Motion") [Docket No. _], by Irving H. Picard, trustee ("Trustee") for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS") and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff ("Madoff") (collectively, "Debtor"), in the above-captioned SIPA¹ liquidation proceeding seeking to have the Court affirm his claims determinations and overrule the related objections that appear to raise customer-specific factual issues (the "Objections"); and the Claims to be disallowed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Vineet Sehgal in Support of the Motion, [Docket No. _]; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been given and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having

¹ All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in the Motion.

found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion as set forth herein is in the best interests of the Debtor, its estate, creditors, and all parties in interest; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Motion is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that the Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto are disallowed; and it is further ORDERED that the Trustee's Claims determinations regarding the Claims listed on Exhibit A are affirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Objections listed on Exhibit A are overruled; and it is further ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (CGM)

EXHIBIT A – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS

Objecting Party	Claim Number	Objection To Determination Docket Number	Counsel	Account Name	Account Number
Albert Reaven	004500	2273	Kohrman Jackson & Krantz PLL	Albert Reaven	1R0011
Albert Reaven Living Trust Dated 5/8/01	004501 100071	2273	Kohrman Jackson & Krantz PLL	Albert Reaven Living Trust Dated 5/8/01	1R0139
Alice Schindler	001146	2058	Pro Se Filing	Alice Schindler	1ZA294
The Reaven Group	004498 100070 100424	2094 2273	Kohrman Jackson & Krantz PLL	Reaven Group	1ZA354
The Richard, Lawrence, Linda and Ruth Reavens Trust	004499 100069 100365 100413	2094 2273	Kohrman Jackson & Krantz PLL	Richard W Reaven Lawrence Reaven Linda Reaven	1R0140
Richard W. Reaven, Lawrence Reaven, Linda Reaven and Ruth Reaven Trust	004497	2273	Kohrman Jackson & Krantz PLL	Richard W Reaven Lawrence Reaven Linda Reaven	1R0012
Rona Mast	001432 015655	2437	Becker & Poliakoff, LLP	Rona Mast	1M0101
Trust Dated 10/31/96 F/B/O Ryan Tavlin, Harvey Krauss And Doron A. Tavlin, Trustees	000306	2913	Pro Se Filing	Ryan Tavlin Trust UA 10/31/96 Doron A Tavlin	1T0036